

REMARKS


Claims 7-14 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Krishan. Claims 8 and 12 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over Krishan in view of well-known arts. Applicants have amended claims 7 and 11 to further distinguish over the cited reference.

Krishan is directed to downloading, i.e., "pushing", advertising content from an ISP to the computer of an ISP customer. The ISP determines the schedule and the advertising and pushes the advertising to the customer computer.

Applicants' claims are distinguishable since no pushing occurs. The kiosk obtains needed web content according to its own schedule. Further, the type of web content downloaded and stored by the kiosk is directed to providing information about products or services offered for sale by the store, and completing sales of the products or services.

Applicants now respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Martin
Attorney for Applicants
(937) 445-2990

Dayton, OH

MAR - 6 2006